

### MAP LEGEND

### Area of Interest (AOI) Background Aerial Photography Area of Interest (AOI) Soils Soil Rating Polygons Very limited Somewhat limited Not limited Not rated or not available Soil Rating Lines Very limited Somewhat limited Not limited Not rated or not available Soil Rating Points Very limited Somewhat limited Not limited Not rated or not available **Water Features** Streams and Canals Transportation Rails +++Interstate Highways **US Routes** Major Roads

#### MAP INFORMATION

The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at 1:12,000.

Warning: Soil Map may not be valid at this scale.

Enlargement of maps beyond the scale of mapping can cause misunderstanding of the detail of mapping and accuracy of soil line placement. The maps do not show the small areas of contrasting soils that could have been shown at a more detailed scale.

Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for map measurements.

Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service Web Soil Survey URL: http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov Coordinate System: Web Mercator (EPSG:3857)

Maps from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Mercator projection, which preserves direction and shape but distorts distance and area. A projection that preserves area, such as the Albers equal-area conic projection, should be used if more accurate calculations of distance or area are required.

This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified data as of the version date(s) listed below.

Soil Survey Area: Bayfield County, Wisconsin Survey Area Data: Version 16, Sep 8, 2014

Soil map units are labeled (as space allows) for map scales 1:50,000 or larger.

Date(s) aerial images were photographed: Sep 9, 2011—Oct 2, 2011

The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines were compiled and digitized probably differs from the background imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident.

Local Roads

# **Small Commercial Buildings**

Map unit symbol	Map unit name	Rating	Component name (percent)	Rating reasons (numeric values)	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
92F	Udorthents, ravines and escarpments, 25 to 60 percent slopes	Not rated	Udorthents, ravines and escarpments (85%)		1.1	2.3%
			Moquah (5%)			
			Alcona (5%)			
			Odanah (5%)			
280C	Odanah silt loam, 6 to 15 percent slopes	Very limited	Odanah (90%)	Depth to saturated zone (1.00)	5.4	11.4%
				Slope (1.00)		
				Shrink-swell (0.96)		
280D	Odanah silt loam, 15 to 25 percent slopes	Very limited	Odanah (93%)	Slope (1.00)	4.6	9.8%
				Depth to saturated zone (1.00)		
				Shrink-swell (0.96)		
280F	Odanah silt loam, 25 to 60 percent slopes	Very limited	Odanah (95%)	Slope (1.00)	4.3	9.2%
				Depth to saturated zone (1.00)		
				Shrink-swell (0.96)		
548A	Pickford- Badriver complex, 0 to 3 percent slopes	Very limited	Pickford (50%)	Ponding (1.00)	0.7	1.5%
				Depth to saturated zone (1.00)		
				Shrink-swell (1.00)		
			Badriver (35%)	Depth to saturated zone (1.00)		
				Shrink-swell (1.00)		
580B	Sanborg- Badriver complex, 0 to 6 percent slopes	Very limited	Sanborg (50%)	Depth to saturated zone (1.00)	30.9	65.8%
				Shrink-swell (1.00)		

Small Commercial Buildings— Summary by Map Unit — Bayfield County, Wisconsin (WI007)							
Map unit symbol	Map unit name	Rating	Component name (percent)	Rating reasons (numeric values)	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI	
			Badriver (30%)	Depth to saturated zone (1.00)			
				Shrink-swell (1.00)			
Totals for Area of Interest					46.9	100.0%	

Small Commercial Buildings— Summary by Rating Value							
Rating	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI					
Very limited	45.8	97.7%					
Null or Not Rated	1.1	2.3%					
Totals for Area of Interest	46.9	100.0%					

## **Description**

Small commercial buildings are structures that are less than three stories high and do not have basements. The foundation is assumed to consist of spread footings of reinforced concrete built on undisturbed soil at a depth of 2 feet or at the depth of maximum frost penetration, whichever is deeper. The ratings are based on the soil properties that affect the capacity of the soil to support a load without movement and on the properties that affect excavation and construction costs. The properties that affect the load-supporting capacity include depth to a water table, ponding, flooding, subsidence, linear extensibility (shrink-swell potential), and compressibility (which is inferred from the Unified classification of the soil). The properties that affect the ease and amount of excavation include flooding, depth to a water table, ponding, slope, depth to bedrock or a cemented pan, hardness of bedrock or a cemented pan, and the amount and size of rock fragments.

The ratings are both verbal and numerical. Rating class terms indicate the extent to which the soils are limited by all of the soil features that affect the specified use. "Not limited" indicates that the soil has features that are very favorable for the specified use. Good performance and very low maintenance can be expected. "Somewhat limited" indicates that the soil has features that are moderately favorable for the specified use. The limitations can be overcome or minimized by special planning, design, or installation. Fair performance and moderate maintenance can be expected. "Very limited" indicates that the soil has one or more features that are unfavorable for the specified use. The limitations generally cannot be overcome without major soil reclamation, special design, or expensive installation procedures. Poor performance and high maintenance can be expected.

Numerical ratings indicate the severity of individual limitations. The ratings are shown as decimal fractions ranging from 0.01 to 1.00. They indicate gradations between the point at which a soil feature has the greatest negative impact on the use (1.00) and the point at which the soil feature is not a limitation (0.00).

The map unit components listed for each map unit in the accompanying Summary by Map Unit table in Web Soil Survey or the Aggregation Report in Soil Data Viewer are determined by the aggregation method chosen. An aggregated rating class is shown for each map unit. The components listed for each map unit are only those that have the same rating class as listed for the map unit. The percent composition of each component in a particular map unit is presented to help the user better understand the percentage of each map unit that has the rating presented.

Other components with different ratings may be present in each map unit. The ratings for all components, regardless of the map unit aggregated rating, can be viewed by generating the equivalent report from the Soil Reports tab in Web Soil Survey or from the Soil Data Mart site. Onsite investigation may be needed to validate these interpretations and to confirm the identity of the soil on a given site.

## **Rating Options**

Aggregation Method: Dominant Condition

Component Percent Cutoff: None Specified

Tie-break Rule: Higher